Novel Preparation of Orthoguinol Acetates and Their Application in Oxygen **Heterocyclization Reactions**

Stéphane Quideau,* Laurent Pouységu, and Matthew A. Looney

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, Texas 79409-1061

Received August 31, 1998

Orthoguinone monoketals (1), i.e., 6,6-dioxocyclohexa-2,4-dienones, are underutilized synthons in organic chemistry despite their considerable synthetic potential. Most successful synthetic applications are usually limited to utilizing their dienone moiety as either a dienic^{2,3} or a dienophilic^{3a-c,4} component in $[4_{\pi}+2_{\pi}]$ cycloaddition reactions. A few other applications in natural product synthesis have been reported,5 but further systematic exploitations of the electrophilic reactivity and differentially activated double bonds of these orthoguinonoid species are sporadic.⁶ A program aimed at exploring other avenues for the utilization of these species in organic synthesis has been initiated. One possibility is to exploit the reactivity of adequately functionalized orthoquinone monoketals in intramolecular nucleophilic addition reactions to construct polyoxygenated carbocycle-fused heterocyclic systems, which are found in many bioactive natural products and synthetic drugs. Conceptually, monoketal synthons of type 3 bearing protected heteroatomic appendages of varied length can be prepared from dearomatization of phenols 2 by two-electron oxidation in the presence of an oxygen-based trapping species. Deprotection will unmask the appended heteroatom which could then attack the electrophilic ketal moiety to form phenol-fused heterocycles of various sizes, such as 5, via in situ aromatization of transient enolates 4 (Scheme 1). These intramolecular 1,4-additions (i.e., attack at C-3 of 3) would follow an Exo-Trig cyclization pathway. According to Baldwin's rules, 5-Exo-Trig nucleophilic ring closures are preferred to 5-Endo-Trig closures (i.e., 1,6-addition at C-5 of 3), but both pathways may compete for 6- and 7-membered ring closures. 7 Steric congestion at C-5 adjacent to the tetrahedral C-6 ketal

Scheme 1

center could however favor ring closure at C-3 of 3. We report here a novel and convenient method for the preparation of relatively stable orthoquinone monoketals 3 and their regiocontrolled conversion into benzannulated 5- to 7-membered ether rings **5** (n = 1-3, X = 0).

Phenols **2a**—**e** were prepared from commercially available 2-methoxyphenols (see Supporting Information). Silyl protective groups were chosen in anticipation of inducing the heterocyclization event by desilylation (Scheme 1, $\mathbf{3} \rightarrow \mathbf{5}$; P = TBDPS, TBDMS, TES).8 Twoelectron oxidizing systems commonly used today to generate orthoquinone monoketals from 2-methoxyphenols are PhI(O₂CCF₃)₂ or PhI(OAc)₂ in MeOH (oxidative methoxylation)^{2,3a-f} and Pb(OAc)₄ in AcOH or CH₂Cl₂ (Wessely oxidative acetoxylation).9 Oxidative methoxylation furnishes 6,6-dimethoxycyclohexa-2,4-dienone derivatives (e.g., $\mathbf{1}$, R_1 , $R_2 = Me$). These ketals are particularly sensitive to Diels-Alder dimerization in situ unless the system bears either relatively bulky substitutent(s) at the 3- or 5-position^{3g,10} or a bromine at the 4-position.² However, we observed that a 6-acetoxy-6-methoxycyclohexa-2,4-dienone derivative, a so-called orthoquinol acetate (i.e., $\mathbf{1}$, $R_1 = Me$, $R_2 = Ac$), did not dimerize in contrast to its 6,6-dimethoxy counterpart (see Supporting Information). On the basis of this result, phenols **2a**-**e** were systematically converted into orthoguinol acetates **3a**–**e** (Scheme 1, $R_2 = Ac$); this was initially accomplished by performing the Wessely oxidative acetoxylation in CH₂Cl₂. These orthoguinone monoketal variants do not bear any substituent at their 3- or 5-position, but do not dimerize in situ, and are stable enough to be extracted from the reaction mixture. We then found that the use of PhI(OAc)₂ in CH₂Cl₂ also led to the formation of stable **3a**−**e** in quasi-quantitative yields (see Table 1). The

⁽¹⁾ Swenton, J. S. Chemistry of quinone bis- and monoketals. In The Chemistry of Quinonoid Compounds; Patai, S., Rappoport, Z., Eds.;

Wiley: New York, 1988; Vol. 2, pp 899–962.
(2) Lai, C.-H.; Shen, Y.-L.; Liao, C.-C. Synlett 1997, 1351–1352.
(3) (a) Carlini, R.; Higgs, K.; Rodrigo, R.; Taylor, N. Chem. Commun.

^{1998, 65–66. (}b) Carlini, R.; Higgs, K.; Older, C.; Randhawa, S. *J. Org. Chem.* 1997, *62*, 2330–2331. (c) Hsiu, P.-Y.; Liao, C.-C. *Chem. Commun.* 1997, 1085–1086. (d) Chu, C.-S.; Lee, T.-H.; Liao, C.-C. *Synlett* 1994, 635–636. (e) Hwang, J.-T.; Liao, C.-C. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1991, *32*, 6583–6586. (f) Liao, C.-C.; Wei, C.-P. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1989, 30, 2255-2256. (g) Andersson, G. Acta Chem. Scand. 1976, B30, 403-406. (h) Deslonchamps, P. Pure Appl. Chem. 1977, 49, 1329-1359.

⁽⁴⁾ Coleman, R. S.; Grant, E. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 10889-10904.

^{(5) (}a) Churcher, I.; Hallet, D.; Magnus, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 3518–3519. (b) Banwell, M. G.; Ireland, N. K. *Chem. Commun.* **1994**, 591–592. (c) Yamamura, S.; Shizuri, Y.; Shigemori, H.; Okuno, Y.; Ohkubo, M. *Tetrahedron* **1991**, *47*, 635–644. (d) Gammill, R. B. Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 26, 1385-1388.

^{(6) (}a) Liao, C.-C.; Wei, C.-P. Tetrahedron lett. 1991, 32, 4553-4556.

⁽b) Feldman, K. S. *J. Org. Chem.* **1997**, *62*, 4983–4990. (7) Baldwin, J. E. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1976**, 734–736, 736–738, 738–741.

⁽⁸⁾ Variation in the choice of the silyl protecting group was due to incompatibilities caused by the lack of reactivity of the silylating reagents used, β -elimination of the silyloxy group, or difficulties in achieving TBAF-mediated desilylation (see Supporting Information). (9) (a) Wessely, F.; Swoboda, J.; Guth, V. *Monatsh. Chem.* **1964**, *95*,

^{649–670. (}b) Bubb, W. A.; Sternhell, S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1970**, *51*, 4499–4502. (c) Hunter, N. R.; Plourde, G. *Synth. Commun.* **1986**, *16*, 1233-1237.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Andersson, G. Acta Chem. Scand. 1976, B30, 64-70.

Table 1. Preparation of Orthoquinol Acetates and Their Oxygen Heterocyclizations

 $^{\it a}$ 1.0 equiv. $^{\it b}$ Best results were obtained by adding a 12 mM solution of the orthoquinol acetate to a 30 mM solution of TBAF (2.0 equiv) in dry THF.

advantages of using PhI(OAc)₂ instead of Pb(OAc)₄ are the absence of toxic lead salts, and the convenient removal of PhI and residual AcOH byproducts by drying under vacuum; no purification by silica gel chromatography was necessary. Compounds $\bf 3a-e$ can be stored as dry oils for several days at -20 °C without any noticeable degradation.

Heterocyclizations are then induced upon fluoride-mediated desilylation of $\bf 3a-e$ using TBAF in THF (Table 1). As expected, cyclization and concomitant rearomatization via elimination of the acetoxy groups of ketals $\bf 3a$ and $\bf 3b$ furnished the 5-*Exo-Trig* cyclized benzofurans products $\bf 5a$ and $\bf 5b$ in good yields. ¹¹ Ketal $\bf 3c$ furnished the 6-*Exo-Trig* cyclized product $\bf 5c$ as the sole regioisomer in 89% optimized yield. No 6-*Endo-Trig* cyclized products were observed. The regiochemistry is readily determined by the observation of two aromatic singlets in the ¹H NMR spectrum. The cyclic connectivity was further confirmed by the detection of a diagnostic three-bond response between the 6-carbon and the γ -protons of $\bf 5c$ in its long-range C-H correlation spectrum. ¹² This suc-

cessful regioselective formation of 5c is particularly interesting, for the same methodology can be tailored to novel approaches to the synthesis of numerous benzopyran-containing¹³ natural products, notably including marine shikimate-sesquiterpenoids. These natural products, exemplified by puupehenone,14 are currently the focus of a renewed synthetic interest because of their potent biological activities. 15 Orthoquinone monoketals can serve as precursors of their vicinally dioxygenated shikimate moieties, and constitute ideal synthons for annulation of their terpenoid units to their shikimate units. The feasibility of this approach was demonstrated by subjecting phenol 2d to the oxidation-cyclization conditions (Table 1). This two-step sequence gave the shikimate-terpenoid model compound 5d again as the sole cyclized regioisomer in 36% yield (not optimized) from 2d.

Orthoguinol acetate **3e** was used to test the feasibility of generating benzannulated seven-membered ether rings. A method based on ring-closing metathesis has recently been reported to provide a solution to this challenging synthetic endeavor.16 Here, we hoped that the rearomatization event would help overcome the energetically disfavored direct closure of these medium-sized rings. 17 The TBAF-mediated desilylation of 3e, performed at room temperature for 1h, gave rise to the *Exo-Trig* cyclized benzoxepin 5e in 20% yield. No other cyclized regioisomers were observed; the other main products were some recovered **2e** derived from *in situ* reduction of 3e, and its desilylated counterpart. Thus, optimization of this reaction by varying the reaction time and the nature of the silyl group may offer an efficient alternative to the formation of phenolic benzoxepin compounds.

In summary, this preliminary study has demonstrated the synthetic utility and potential of orthoquinol acetates in oxygen heterocyclization chemistry. These relatively stable orthoquinone monoketal variants are easily prepared from PhI(OAc)₂-mediated oxidation of 2-methoxyphenols. This protocol constitutes a convenient alternative to the lead salt-based Wessely oxidation. The combined oxidation—cyclization of silyloxy derivatives of functionalized 2-methoxyphenols into benzannulated 5-to 7-membered ether rings, as described therein, should find valuable applications in organic synthesis. The application of this promising two-step methodology to the synthesis of marine shikimate-sesquiterpenoids, and its extension to nitrogen nucleophilic ring closures for the

⁽¹¹⁾ For recent examples of alternative approaches to hydroxy- and alkoxy-substituted benzofurans, see: (a) Novák, L.; Kovács, P.; Pirok, G.; Kolonits, P.; Hanania, M.; Donáth, K.; Szántay, C. *Tetrahedron* **1997**, *53*, 9789–9798. (b) Engler, T. A.; Gfesser, G. A.; Draney, B. W. *J. Org. Chem.* **1995**, *60*, 3700–3706.

^{(12) (}a) Reynolds, W. F.; Enriquez, R. G.; Escobar, L. I.; Lozoya, X. Can J. Chem **1984**, *62*, 2421–2425. (b) Ralph, J. *Holzforschung* **1988**, *42*, 273–275.

⁽¹³⁾ Phenolic benzopyrans have also been recognized as structural units critical to the potency of synthetic dopamine agonists: Neirabeyeh, M. A.; Reynaud, D.; Podona, T.; Ou, L.; Perdicakis, C.; Coudert, G.; Guillaumet, G.; Pichat, L.; Gharib, A.; Sarda, N. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **1991**, *26*, 497–504.

^{(14) (}a) Urban, S.; Capon, R. J. J. Nat. Prod. **1996**, *59*, 900–901. (b) Ravi, B. N.; Perzanowski, H. P.; Ross, R. A.; Erdman, T. R.; Scheuer, P. J.; Finer, A.; Clardy, J. Pure Appl. Chem. **1979**, *51*, 1893–1900. (c) Hamann, M. T.; Scheuer, P. J.; Kelly-Borges, M. J. Org. Chem. **1993**, *58*, 6565–6569. (d) Nasu, S. S.; Yeung, B. K. S.; Hamann, M. T.; Scheuer, P. J.; Kelly-Borges, M.; Goins, K. J. Org. Chem. **1995**, *60*, 7290–7292.

^{(15) (}a) Barrero, A. F.; Alvarez-Manzaneda, E. J.; Herrador, M. M.; Valdivia, M. V.; Chahboun, R. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1998**, *39*, 2425–2428. (b) Barrero, A. F.; Alvarez-Manzaneda, E. J.; Chahboun, R. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1997**, *38*, 2325–2328. (c) Arjona, O.; Garranzo, M.; Mahugo, J.; Maroto, E.; Plumet, J.; Sáez, B. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1997**, *38*, 7249–7252.

⁽¹⁶⁾ Stefinovic, M.; Snieckus, V. *J. Org. Chem.* **1998**, *63*, 2808–2809. (17) (a) Illuminati, G.; Mandolini, L. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1981**, *14*, 95–102. (b) Dudev, T.; Lim, C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1998**, *120*, 4450–4458.

synthesis of lycorine-type Amaryllidaceae alkaloids are in progress.

Experimental Section

General. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) and diethyl ether (Et₂O) were purified by distillation from sodium/benzophenone under Ar immediately before use. EtOAc and CH2Cl2 were distilled from CaH₂ prior to use. Light petroleum refers to the fraction boiling in the 40-60 °C range. Moisture and oxygen sensitive reactions were carried out in flame-dried glassware under Ar. Evaporations were conducted under reduced pressure at temperatures less than 45 °C unless otherwise noted. Column chromatography was carried out under positive pressure using 32-63 μ m silica gel (Bodman) and the indicated solvents. Melting points are uncorrected. One- and two-dimensional NMR spectra of samples in the indicated solvent were run at 300 MHz (ÎH). Carbon multiplicities were determined by DEPT135 experiments. 18 Diagnostic correlation information was obtained using the Bruker pulse program XHCORR12a for 1H-13C two- and three-bond connectivities; delay times $\Delta 1$ and $\Delta 2^{19}$ of 35 ms (d3) and 25 ms (d4) were used, respectively. 12b Electron impact mass spectra (EIMS) were obtained at 50-70 eV. Chemical ionization low and high-resolution mass spectrometric analyses (CIMS, HRMS) were obtained from the mass spectrometry laboratory at the University of Texas at Austin. Combustion analyses were performed by Desert Analytics (Tucson, AZ). ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra are provided to establish purity for those compounds which were not subject to combustion analyses.

Preparation of Orthoquinol Acetates 3a–e. A solution of the phenol **2a–e** (ca. 250 mg, 1.0 equiv) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL) was added dropwise to a stirring solution of the oxidizing agent [Pb(OAc)₄, 1.1 equiv, or PhI(OAc)₂, 1.0 equiv] in 5 mL of dry CH₂-Cl₂ at -78 °C. The reaction mixture immediately became bright yellow. After 1 h, TLC monitoring [hexanes–EtOAc (4:1)] indicated complete consumption of the starting material. The mixture was poured into ice-cold saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (20 mL), extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2 \times 20 mL), washed with brine (20 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄ (vide infra), filtered and evaporated at room temperature. The residue was further dried under high vacuum overnight to give the corresponding orthoquinol acetate **3a–e** as a bright yellow oil which was used without further purification.

Orthoquinol acetate 3a. Yellow oil: Pb(OAc)₄ \Rightarrow 96%, PhI-(OAc)₂ \Rightarrow 94%. IR (NaCl) 1738, 1694 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.04 (s, 18H, 2 × tBu), 2.05 (s, 6H, 2 × AcO), 2.29–2.32 (m, 4H, 2 × CH₂), 3.39 (s, 3H, MeO), 3.40 (s, 3H, MeO), 3.94–3.96 (m, 2H, 2 × CH), 5.82 (bs, 1H, H-2), 5.86 (bs, 1H, H-2), 5.95 (d, J= 10.0 Hz, 1H, H-5), 5.97 (d, J= 10.0 Hz, 1H, H-5), 6.39 (dd, J= 2.0, 10.0 Hz, 1H, H-6), 6.52 (dd, J= 2.0, 10.0 Hz, 1H, H-6), 7.33–7.70 (m, 20H, 4 × Ph); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 191.7, 169.3, 143.5, 142.8, 135.8, 134.1, 133.9, 131.5, 131.4, 129.7, 129.6, 127.7, 127.6, 125.4, 125.1, 92.9, 68.9, 68.2, 51.2, 45.0, 44.8, 26.9, 22.4, 22.3, 20.5, 19.1; CIMS m/z (relative intensity) 478 (MH⁺, 6), 421 (23), 419 (33), 401 (23), 198 (100); HRMS (CI) calcd for C₂₈H₃₃O₅Si 477.2097, found 477.2079.

Orthoquinol acetate 3b. Yellow oil: Pb(OAc)₄ → 98%, PhI-(OAc)₂ → 98%. IR (NaCl) 1737, 1684 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.54 (q, J = 7.9 Hz, 6H, 3 × CH₂−TES), 0.90 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 9H, 3 × CH₃−TES), 1.20 (s, 3H, Me), 1.22 (s, 3H, Me), 2.06 (s, 3H, AcO), 2.30 (s, 2H, CH₂), 3.42 (s, 3H, MeO), 5.89 (bs, 1H, H-2), 5.99 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 1H, H-5), 6.97 (dd, J = 2.1, 10.0 Hz, 1H, H-6); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 191.9, 169.2, 144.9, 136.3, 132.2, 124.1, 93.0, 73.8, 51.2, 50.0, 29.8, 29.7, 20.5, 6.9, 6.6; EIMS m/z (relative intensity) 369 (MH⁺, 41), 368 (M⁺, 37), 367 (80), 309 (20). Anal. Calcd for C₁₉H₃₂O₅Si: C, 61.92; H, 8.76. Found: C, 61.91; H, 9.04.

Orthoquinol acetate 3c. Yellow oil: Pb(OAc)₄ \Rightarrow 97%, PhI-(OAc)₂ \Rightarrow 98%. IR (NaCl) 1739, 1689 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.04 (s, 9H, tBu), 1.67–1.76 (m, 2H, CH₂- β), 2.06 (s, 3H, AcO), 2.35 (bt, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H, CH₂- α), 3.41 (s, 3H, MeO), 3.68 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H, CH₂- γ), 5.91 (bs, 1H, H-2), 6.09 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 1H,

H-5), 6.75 (dd, J = 2.1, 10.0 Hz, 1H, H-6), 7.33-7.65 (m, 10H, 2 \times Ph); 13 C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 191.8, 169.4, 142.7, 138.4, 135.5, 133.7, 129.6, 128.9, 127.6, 125.7, 93.0, 62.5, 51.2, 31.3, 30.6, 26.8, 20.5, 19.2; EIMS m/z (relative intensity) 479 (MH⁺, 19), 421 (59), 419 (49), 401 (79), 343 (100). Anal. Calcd for $C_{28}H_{34}O_5Si$: C, 70.26; H, 7.17. Found: C, 70.17; H, 6.95.

Orthoquinol acetate 3d. Yellow oil: $Pb(OAc)_4 \rightarrow 99\%$. IR (NaCl) 1742, 1689 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.45–0.67 (m, 12H, 6xCH₂), 0.87–0.98 (m, 18H, 6xCH₃), 1.13–1.62 (m, 18H), 1.23 (s, 3H, Me), 1.24 (s, 3H, Me), 1.93–2.06 (m, 2H), 2.07 (s, 6H, 2 × AcO), 2.52–2.58 (m, 2H), 3.43 (s, 3H, MeO), 3.44 (s, 3H, MeO), 5.86 (bs, 1H, H-2), 5.88 (bs, 1H, H-2), 6.07 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 1H, H-5), 6.09 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 1H, H-5), 6.71–6.76 (m, 2H, 2 × H-6); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 191.9, 169.4, 169.2, 143.0, 142.8, 138.2, 130.1, 125.6, 93.2, 93.0, 73.8, 51.3, 46.0, 45.9, 40.7, 35.7, 28.8, 26.7, 26.3, 25.7, 25.6, 21.9, 21.8, 20.6, 7.2, 7.0, 6.9, 6.8; CIMS m/z (relative intensity) 423 (MH⁺, 10), 422 (M⁺, 13), 363 (73), 291 (100); HRMS (CI) calcd for C₂₃H₃₈O₅Si 422.2488, found 422.2477.

Orthoquinol acetate 3e. Yellow oil: Pb(OAc)₄ \Rightarrow 99%, PhI-(OAc)₂ \Rightarrow 93%. IR (NaCl) 1737, 1684 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.82 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H, Me- β), 0.86 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H, Me- β), 1.06 (s, 18H, 2 × tBu), 1.32–1.46 (m, 2H), 1.61–1.69 (m, 2H), 1.85–2.06 (m, 4H), 2.07 (s, 6H, 2 × AcO), 2.21–2.34 (m, 2H), 3.44 (s, 3H, MeO), 3.45 (s, 3H, MeO), 3.68–3.73 (m, 4H, 2 × CH₂-δ), 5.89 (bs, 2H, 2 × H-2), 6.09 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 2 × H-5), 6.72 (dd, J = 1.8, 10.0 Hz, 2H, 2 × H-6), 7.33–7.72 (m, 20H, 4 × Ph); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 191.9, 169.3, 142.9, 142.7, 137.5, 135.8, 135.5, 134.8, 133.8, 130.2, 129.7, 127.7, 127.6, 125.7, 125.6, 93.1, 61.7, 51.2, 42.6, 42.5, 39.2, 39.0, 28.4, 28.2, 26.8, 26.5, 20.5, 19.2, 19.1, 18.9; CIMS m/z (relative intensity) 507 (MH⁺, 9), 449 (47), 447 (23), 429 (23), 357 (100); HRMS (CI) calcd for C₃₀H₃₉O₅Si 507.2566, found 507.2553.

Heterocyclization of Orthoquinol Acetates 3a–e. To a stirring ice-cold solution of commercial TBAF (1 M in THF, 2.0 equiv) in dry THF (ca. 30 mM) was added dropwise a solution of the orthoquinol acetate (3a–e, 1.0 equiv) in dry THF (ca. 12 mM). After 10 min, the ice bath was removed, and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1–3 h. Progression of the reaction was monitored by the disappearance of the orthoquinol acetate, as indicated by TLC [hexanes–EtOAc, (4: 1)]. The mixture was quenched by adding dropwise a 1:1 mixture of ice-cold water and 1 M H_3PO_4 , and then diluted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over Na_2SO_4 , filtered and evaporated to give a dark oil, which was subjected to column chromatography, eluting with hexanes–EtOAc (9:1), to give the cyclized product.

Benzofuran 5a. Brown oil (57%): IR (NaCl) 3432 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.42 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 3H, Me), 2.72 (ddd, J = 0.6, 7.7, 14.8 Hz, 1H, CH₂), 3.20 (dd, J = 8.7, 14.8 Hz, 1H, CH₂), 3.80 (s, 3H, MeO), 4.80–4.92 (m, 1H, CH), 5.59 (bs, 1H, OH), 6.39 (s, 1H, H_{arom.}), 6.68 (s, 1H, H_{arom.}); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 153.9, 140.7, 135.9, 116.6, 108.5, 97.2, 79.9, 57.0, 37.7, 21.6; CIMS m/z (relative intensity) 181 (MH⁺, 100), 180 (25), 166 (11); HRMS (CI) calcd for C₁₀H₁₂O₃ 180.0786, found 180.0784.

Benzofuran 5b. Brown oil (73%): IR (NaCl) 3440 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.42 (s, 6H, 2 × Me), 2.90 (s, 2H, CH₂), 3.79 (s, 3H, MeO), 5.61 (s, 1H, OH), 6.37 (s, 1H, H_{arom.}), 6.67 (s, 1H, H_{arom.}); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 153.3, 145.8, 140.5, 116.6, 108.7, 97.3, 86.9, 57.1, 43.0, 28.1; EIMS m/z (relative intensity) 194 (M⁺, 96), 179 (100). Anal. Calcd for C₁₁H₁₄O₃: C, 68.01; H, 7.27. Found: C, 67.85; H, 7.20.

Benzopyran 5c. Yellow oil (89%): IR (NaCl) 3436 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.91–1.99 (m, CH₂- β), 2.68 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, CH₂- α), 3.79 (s, MeO-3), 4.09 (t, J = 5.1 Hz, CH₂- γ), 5.55 (bs, OH), 6.40 (s, H-5), 6.49 (s, H-2); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 149.1 (C-6), 144.7 (C-4), 140.7 (C-3), 112.5 (C-1), 111.8 (C-2), 103.2 (C-5), 66.2 (CH₂- γ), 56.5 (MeO-3), 24.5 (CH₂- α), 22.6 (CH₂- β); EIMS m/z (relative intensity) 180 (M⁺, 28), 165 (58), 69 (100). Anal. Calcd for C₁₀H₁₂O₃: C, 66.64; H, 6.72. Found: C, 66.71; H, 7.00.

Benzopyran 5d. White crystals (36%): mp 115–116 °C; IR (KBr) 3424 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.15 (s, Me- γ), 1.19–1.64 (m, 8H), 1.87–1.91 (m, 1H), 2.22 (dd, J = 1.0, −16.3 Hz, 1H, CH₂- α), 3.01 (dd, J = 6.3, −16.3 Hz, 1H, CH₂- α), 3.79 (s, MeO-3), 5.44 (bs, OH), 6.39 (s, H-5), 6.48 (s, H-2); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 147.3 (C-6), 144.8 (C-4), 140.5 (C-3), 111.9 (C-2), 110.1 (C-1), 103.6 (C-5), 74.5 (C- γ), 56.5 (MeO-3), 38.5 (CH₂), 37.0 (CH- β),

⁽¹⁸⁾ Doddrell, D. M.; Pegg, D. T.; Bendall, M. R. *J. Magn. Reson.* **1982**, *48*, 323–327.

⁽¹⁹⁾ Bax, A.; Morris, G. A. J. Magn. Reson. 1981, 42, 501-505.

29.1 (CH₂- α), 28.4 (CH₂), 25.6 (CH₂), 25.3 (Me- γ), 21.7 (CH₂); CIMS m/z (relative intensity) 249 (MH⁺, 100), 248 (M⁺, 36), 154 (35), 153 (39); HRMS (CI) calcd for $C_{15}H_{20}O_3$ 248.1412, found 248.1415.

Benzoxepin 5e. White crystals (20%): mp 67–68 °C; IR (KBr) 3413 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.99 (d, J = 6.3 Hz, Me- β), 1.59–1.89 (m, 3H), 2.46–2.70 (m, 2H), 3.62 (ddd, J = 2.1, 7.9, –12.3 Hz, 1H, CH₂- δ), 3.82 (s, MeO-3), 4.21 (ddd, J = 2.9, 7.6, –12.3 Hz, 1H, CH₂- δ), 5.46 (bs, OH), 6.57 (s, H-5), 6.58 (s, H-2); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 154.5 (C-6), 144.0 (C-4), 142.2 (C-3), 124.9 (C-1), 112.8 (C-2), 108.0 (C-5), 72.2 (CH₂- δ), 56.3 (MeO-3), 42.0 (CH₂), 40.7 (CH₂), 31.9 (CH- β), 22.4 (Me- β); EIMS m/z (relative intensity) 208 (M⁺, 100), 193 (51), 153 (52); HRMS (CI) calcd for C₁₂H₁₆O₃ 208.1099, found 208.1107.

Acknowledgment. We thank The Robert A. Welch Foundation (Grant D-1365) for support of this research. We also thank Mr. Roy T. Hendley for his contribution to this work and Mr. David W. Purkiss for the NMR spectroscopic analyses.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedures and spectral characterizations for $2\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{e}$ and their intermediates (16 pages). This material is contained in libraries on microfiche, immediately follows this article in the microfilm version of the journal, and can be ordered from the ACS; see any current masthead page for ordering information.

JO9817669